White Roofs in Northern Climates

ASHRAE Tech. Paper: Simulated Influence of the Roof Reflectance on the Building Energy Balance in Two Northern Cities

Presenter: Daniel Dettmers

HVAC&R Center & IRC, U.W. Madison

Authors:

Sebastian Freund, Ph.D., Helmut-Schmidt-University Daniel Dettmers, HVAC&R Center, U.W.-Madison Douglas Reindl, Ph.D., HVAC&R Center, U.W.-Madison



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON ASHRAE is a Registered Provider with the **American Institute of Architects Continuing** Education Systems. Credit earned on completion of this program will be reported to CES Records for AIA members.

This program is registered with the AIA/CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product. Questions related to specific materials, FDUCA SNIUN methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

Learning Objectives

- Identify and evaluate applications where a white roof is effective and ineffective
- 2) Recognize common misconceptions for the use of white roof technology
- 3) Understand the technology and savings benefits of white roofs in northern climates

Definition

 Here, we define a "white roof" is one that is highly reflective of incident solar radiation

EPA's requirements for a "Reflective Roof"

Equipment	Specification
Roofing	•Low Slope roofs must have an initial solar reflectance of $> = 0.65$. After 3 years, the solar reflectance must be $> = 0.50$.
	•Steep Slope roots must have an initial solar reflectance of $> = 0.25$. After 3 years, the solar reflectance must be $> = 0.15$.

Source: www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=roof_prods.pr_crit_roof_products

Why Northern Climates?

- Minnesota utility offered a rebate for installing high albedo (white) roof on "big box" retail buildings
 - Primarily targeted at roof replacements of poorly insulated buildings

N

E

N

S

 \prod

- Rebate was challenged due to climate
 - MANY more heating hours than cooling hours

Why Northern Climates?

Is there monetary savings?

- Is electric (A/C) savings being achieved at the expense of extra gas (heating) use?
- Is heat/cooling season balance to skewed?
- Or does reflective nature of snow cover negate the differences between white and black roofs?



Previous Work

- *Cool Roofs Save Energy*, Hashem Akbari, ASHRAE Transactions 1998.
- Measured Performance of a Reflective Roofing System in a Florida Commercial Building, Danny S. Parker, John R. Sherwin, Jeffrey K. Sonne, ASHRAE Transactions 1998.
- High-Albedo Roof Coatings—Impact on Energy Consumption, James M. Akridge, ASHRAE Transactions 1998.
- Measured and Simulated Performance of Reflective Roofing Systems in Residential Buildings, D. S. Parker et al, ASHRAE Transactions 1998.



Previous Work

Measured and Simulated Performance of Reflective Roofing Systems in Residential Buildings, D. S. Parker et al, ASHRAE Transactions 1998.

- DOE-2 simulations said residential white roof would have negative impacts in northern climates
- Calculations for Reflective Roofs in Support of Standard 90.1, Hashem Akbari et al., ASHRAE Transactions 1998.
 - Again, DOE-2.1E simulations said roofs in cold climates should not be reflective, but assumptions made from warm weather data

Cool Roofs Save Energy, H. Akbari, ASHRAE Transactions 1998.

 DOE-2 based simulations may underestimate the effect of roof reflectance on energy usage

- Architectural/ Envelope Characteristics
 - Building type: 1 story commercial retail "big box"
 - Location: Minneapolis
 - Floor area: 100,000 ft²
 - Height: 25 ft
 - Length: 316.2 ft
 - Width: 316.2 ft
 - Windows: 400 ft² each wall, facing N, S, E and W
 - Window Type: Standard clear double glazed
 - Wall insulation level: R-11
 - Floor construction: Concrete slab on grade

- **Operation and Use**
 - Occupied periods: 7AM to 10PM all days
 - Heating set point: 72°F
 - Cooling set point: 76°F and max of 60% RH
- Internal Load Characteristics
 - Light: 2.5 w/ft² Occupied & 0.50 W/ft² unoccupied
 - Light Schedule: 100% occupied time, 20% unoccupied time
 - People: Maximum 400, varies throughout the day and week vs. weekend
 - Activity level: ISO 7730-05 (315/325 Btu/h sensible/latent), only during occupied
 - Equipment load/schedule: 0.3 W/ft²/24 x 7

- Mechanical System Characteristics
 - Cooling Equipment: DX Rooftop
 - Cooling EER: 9.0
 - Heating system 80% Rooftop gas fired unit
 - Air handler type: Constant volume
 - Supply CFM/sf: 1.5 cfm/ft²
 - Outside air: 0.2 cfm/ft²
 - Supply/return fan power: 70 kW
 - Pressure drop: 1 inH₂O (249 Pa) for 150 kcfm,
 - Fan efficiency: 50%
 - Infiltration: 0

 Electric Rates - June through September \$0.031/kWh • \$6.61/kW October through May \$0.031/kWh • \$9.26/kW Natural Gas Rate - \$0.481/therm



- Roof Orientation
 - South sloped roofs typically receive higher radiation intensity and less snow coverage than flat.
 - Since the building was a big box retailer, we chose a flat roof with the front facing south.
- This parameter did not vary in the study

Sunshine/Snow cover

- Increased Sunshine = Increased heat load
- Summer
 - Reflective roof reduces effects of sunshine and saves A/C energy.
- Winter
 - Reflective roof reduces effects of sunshine and increases heating load.
 - Less hours of sunshine in winter
 - Snow cover negates the effect of the roof since all roofs become white.

8,760 simulation including sun, wind and cloud

With snow cover vs. no snow cover

Roof Construction and Insulation

- "R-24" is sheet metal roof with an EPDM membrane insulation material with R-value = 24 hr-ft²-F/Btu plus variable heat transfer coefficient
- Average R-value = 3.28 + insulation R-value
- Roof insulation levels
 - Insulation levels of RO, R4, R8, R16, R24
 - R0 indicates no insulation.
- Roof surface reflectance
 - Black = 0.06 vs. White = 0.65 0.65
 - 0.65 is Energy Star minimum
 - 0.80 is common installation value

0.06

- Internal Gains & Heat Load
 - During cooling season, reduced roof surface temperature results in lower cooling load.
 - Reduces payback of white roof
 - Cooling season extended by:
 - Warmer climate
 - Higher internal loads
- Economizer vs.
 No economizer



Heat Transfer Coefficients

- Outside convective heat transfer coefficient (h_w) based on wind speed.
 - Free convection coefficient = 5 W/m²-K
 Used at low wind speed
 - h_w = max[5, 2.5 + 1.9v]
 - v = wind speed [m/s]
- h_w is recalculated every hour of the simulation



Heat Transfer Coefficients

- Inside convective heat transfer coefficient h_{in} based on air change per hour (ACH) and ∆T between inside of roof and room.
 - For *cooling* season (warm roof):
 - $h_{in} = 0.49 \text{ ACH}^{0.8} + 0.525 (T_{roof} T_{room})^{0.2446}$
 - For *heating* season (cool roof):
 - $h_{in} = 0.49 \text{ ACH}^{0.8} + 4 0.0017 \text{ T}_{roof} + 0.0032 \text{ T}_{roof}^2 0.0005 \text{ T}_{roof}^3$
- h_{in} is also recalculated every hour of the simulation.

Heat Transfer Coefficients

 Radiative heat transfer also taken into account using empirical formula from Berdahl and Martin [1984]

Simulated Roof Surface Temperature R8 insulation



Roof Temperatures

R-value of Roof Insulation		R4	R8	R16	R24
Black, Max.	°F	192	192	195	195
White, Max.	오片	122	122	123	122
Black, Ave.	°F	61	61	62	67
White, Ave.	오片	50	50	51	56

Snow Cover

- Simulated snow cover on roof
 - Start with snow height data from TMY2
 - Calculate hourly melting rate due to heat flux through the roof
 - Sets roof surface temp to 32°F and high heat transfer coefficient if snow present
 Negligible heat transfer through snow
 - Snow melts from bottom up



	Summary of the Annual Cooling Simulation Results						
R-val	ue of roof insulation	R-0	R-4	R-8	R-16	R-24	
Buildi	ng Cooling Load [Btu/ft	²]	-	-	-	-	
	Black	25,701	25,663	26,194	26,646	27,586	
	White	19,037	21,483	23,134	24,703	26,084	
	Difference	6,665	4,181	3,060	1,943	1,502	
Cooling Consumption [kWh/1,000 ft ²]							
	Black	2,545	2,541	2,593	2,638	2,731	
	White	1,885	2,127	2,291	2,446	2,583	
	Difference	660	414	303	192	149	
Peak	Cooling Power [kW/1,00	00 ft ²]	-		-	-	
	Black	3.06	2.71	2.64	2.44	2.42	
	White	2.49	2.42	2.43	2.35	2.35	
	Difference	0.57	0.29	0.21	0.09	0.06	
	Difference	19%	11%	8%	4%	3%	
Coolir	ng Cost [\$/1,000 ft ²]						
	Black	79	79	80	82	85	
	White	58	66	71	76	80	
	Difference	20	13	9	6	5	
	שוופופוונפ	26%	16%	12%	7%	5%	
Annua	al Compressor Demand [\$/1,000 ft ²]					
	Cost Difference	\$33.52	\$17.55	\$11.87	\$5.82	\$4.28	

Summary of the Annual Heating Simulation Results

R-val	ue of roof insulation	R-0	R-4	R-8	R-16	R-24
Build	ing Heating Load [Btu/	′ft²]				
	Black	24,818	15,589	11,872	9,071	7,645
	White	27,690	17,052	12,665	9,420	7,855
	Difference	-2,871	-1,463	-793	-349	-210
Gas C	Consumption [Therm/1	000ft ²]				
	Black	310	195	148	113	96
	White	346	213	158	118	98
	Difference	-35.9	-18.3	-9.9	-4.4	-2.6
Peak	Gas Consumption [The	rm/h-1000ft ²]				
		0.31	0.27	0.24	0.21	0.18
Heati	ng Cost [\$/1000ft ²]					
	Black	149	94	71	55	46
	White	166	103	76	57	47
	Difference	-17.3	-8.80	-4.77	-2.10	-1.26
	Difference	-12%	-9%	-7%	-4%	-3%

Summary of Simulation Results

R-value of roof insulation		RO	R4	R 8	R16	R24
Overall R-value of roof		R3.50	R7.82	R11.82	R19.82	R27.82
Heating Savings	Load, Btu/ft ²	-2,871	-1,463	-793	-349	-210
	\$/1,000ft ²	-\$17.30	-\$8.80	-\$4.77	-\$2.10	-\$1.26
Cooling Savings	Load, Btu/ft ²	6,665	4,181	3,060	1,943	1,502
	\$/1,000ft ²	\$53.98	\$30.39	\$21.26	\$11.78	\$8.89
Total Savings	Load, Btu/ft ²	3,793	2,718	2,266	1,594	1,293
	\$/1,000ft ²	\$36.71	\$21.59	\$16.49	\$9.68	\$7.63

Summary of the heating and cooling savings per year for a white roof compared to a black roof. White roof values subtracted from the black roof values. Economizer is used and roof is covered with snow at appropriate times.

Influence of State

 High insulation increases negating effect of snow
 Even without snow, energy cost savings

are 22% lower for R4 and 26% for R24

Hours of Snowcover	615	1,511
Building Heating Loads [Btu/ft ²]		
Black, with snow	15,588	7,578
Black, without snow	16,054	8,115
Difference	2.9%	6.6%
White, with snow	17,052	7,798
White, without snow	17,859	8,610
Difference	4.5%	9.4%
Building Cooling Loads [Btu/ft ²]		
Black, with snow	33,988	38,974
Black, without snow	34,011	38,994
Difference	0.1%	0.1%
White, with snow	28,149	36,690
White, without snow	28,151	36,660
Difference	0.0%	-0.1%

Influence of Energy Prices



Break-Even Energy Costs

Influence of Increased Insulation

Savings for Incremental Increases in Roof Insulation. Roof is a black roof, with snow cover and economizer in use.

Incremental ins increase	sulation	R4 to R8	R8 to R16	R16 to	R24	R4 to R24
Heating	Load, Btu/ft ²	3,717	2,801	1,42	26	7,944
Savings	\$/1000ft ²	\$22.35	\$16.84	\$8.!	57	\$47.76
Cooling	Load, Btu/ft ²	-531	-452	-94	0	-1,923
Savings	\$/1000ft ²	-\$1.63	-\$1.39	-\$2.	89	-\$5.90
Total Covings	Load, Btu/ft ²	3,187	2,348	48	6	6,021
Total Savings	\$/1000ft ²	\$20.72	\$15.45	\$5.	69	\$41.86
	Summa	ary of Simula	ation Resul	ts:		
R-value of ro	of insulation	RO	R4	R8	R16	6 R24
Total Savings	Load, Btu/ft ²	3,793	2,718	2,266	1,59	4 1,293
for Installing						

\$36.71

\$21.59

\$16.49

\$9.68

\$7.63

\$/1,000ft²

White Roof

Influence of Economizer

Savings for Installing White Roof without an Economizer. With snow cover but NO economizer in use.

Roof insulation		R4	R 8	R16	R24
Heating Savings	Btu/ft ²	-1,464	-803	-353	-220
	\$/1000ft ²	-\$8.80	-\$4.83	-\$2.12	-\$1.32
Cooling Savings	Btu/ft ²	5,839	4,363	2,889	2,283
	\$/1000ft ²	\$36.13	\$26.91	\$15.08	\$11.36
Total Savings	Btu/ft ²	4,375	3,560	2,537	2,064
	\$/1000ft ²	\$27.33	\$22.08	\$12.95	\$10.04

Summary of Simulation Results: Just the Total

R-value of roof insulation		RO	R4	R 8	R16	R24	
Total Savings	Load, Btu/ft ²	3,793	2,718	2,266	1,594	1,293	
for Installing White Roof	\$/1,000ft ²	\$36.71	\$21.59	\$16.49	\$9.68	\$7.63	2

Denver, CO

Savings Summary for Installing White Roof In Denver, CO

R	R4	R24		
Heating Energy	Black	therm/1000 ft ²	88	24
	VVhite	therm/1000 ft ²	102	25
	Difference	%	-15.2%	-4.3%
	Difference	therm/1000 ft ²	-13.4	-1.0
	Black	kWh/1000 ft ²	3539	4162
Cooling Energy	VVhite	kWh/ft ²	2736	3854
Cooling Energy	Difference	%	22.7%	7.4%
	Difference	kWh/1000 ft ²	803	307
Energy Cost	Difference	\$/1000 ft ²	18.42	9.04
Demand Cost	Difference	\$/1000 ft ²	29.32	7.05
Total costs	Difference	\$/1000 ft ²	47.74	16.09

Summary

 Installation of high albedo (white) roofs saves energy and money in northern U.S. climates.



THANK YOU This concludes the ASHRAE & AIA Continuing Education Systems Program

Please the website www.ashraemadison.org/crc2007

Questions or Comments??

Daniel Dettmers HVAC&R Center & Industrial Refrigeration Consortium U.W. Madison

608-262-8221

www.irc.wisc.edu